**Module UFCF7S-30-2 Systems Development Group Project**

**Year 2023-24**

**Assessment Task B**

Individual essay (800 words) - reflective evaluation of the work.

Contributes – 25% of task marks

**Expected points to be discussed.**

* Reflection on interaction within the project team
  + Organisation of the team
  + Consistency of attendance at meetings
  + Effectiveness of meetings – own contribution
* Consideration and Discussion of ethical (including sustainability) and legal issues (including risks) that are related to the project. Discuss own contribution
* Discuss the following re the management of the project
  + Consideration of project tasks – related to project objectives
  + Roles identified – did the team do this? Did these roles rotate among members and how?
  + Did you review your project plan as part of the weekly meetings?
  + Did you consider risks affecting the project? If so, how did you link them to the project plan?
* What do you think was your most important contribution for the project and the group you’ve worked with?

**Assessment Criteria and Mark Scheme**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Mark** | **100-86**  **Outstanding** | **85-70**  **Excellent** | **69-60**  **Very Good** | **59-50**  **Good** | **49-40**  **Adequate** | **39-30**  **Poor / Inadequate** | **29-0**  **Very Poor** |
| **Reflective Evaluation Assessment - Task B** | * The essay has surpassed expectations at “Excellent” level. * The work demonstrates excellent ability to critically discuss the group work and own contribution. * There has been some good use of appropriate references. * Demonstrates a good appreciation of sustainability issues and ability to link those to the key elements of the system produced. | * Excellent work in terms of discussing group management practice. * Thorough discussion and analysis of own contribution and personal lessons learned. * Discusses ethical and legal issues effectively * Discusses the impact of Risk management in the management of the project | * A well-structured discussion of group management practice. * A good attempt to critically discuss role management and rotation. * A good attempt to discuss own contribution * Demonstrates good understanding of the role of risk * Demonstrates good awareness of ethics and legal issues | * Attempts to discuss the effectiveness of the work of the group. * Describes the roles and the way they were managed by the group. * Explains own role. * Identifies some ethical issues. * Is unclear about the role of risk management for the project | * Identifies roles among group members. * Explains own role. * Does all the above in a descriptive manner, not being able to comment as to the effectiveness of the work clearly * Some attempt to identify ethical issues | * Makes some attempt to describe how the project was run and managed. * There is no clarity what roles were assigned to group members * Attempts to describe but unclear as to own contribution | * Not clear about how the project was planned, tasked and run. * Fails to explain own contribution to the project. |